

A Simplification of Morita's Construction of Total Right Rings of Quotients for a Class of Rings

Lia Vaš

Department of Mathematics, Physics and Computer Science, University of the Sciences in Philadelphia, 600 S. 43rd St., Philadelphia, PA 19104

Abstract

The total right ring of quotients $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$, sometimes also called the maximal flat epimorphic right ring of quotients or right flat epimorphic hull, is usually obtained as a directed union of a certain family of extension of the base ring R . In [16], $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ is constructed in a different way, by transfinite induction on ordinals. Starting with the maximal right ring of quotients $Q_{\text{max}}^r(R)$, its subrings are constructed until $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ is obtained.

Here, we prove that Morita's construction of $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ can be simplified for rings satisfying condition (C) that every subring of the maximal right ring of quotients $Q_{\text{max}}^r(R)$ containing R is flat as a left R -module. We illustrate the usefulness of this simplification by considering the class of right semihereditary rings all of which satisfy condition (C). We prove that the construction stops after just one step and we obtain a simple description of $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ in this case. Lastly, we study conditions that imply that Morita's construction ends in countably many steps.

Key words: Right Rings of Quotients, Total Right Ring of Quotients
1991 MSC: 16S90, 16N80, 16E60

1 Introduction

There have been many attempts in ring theory to extend a given ring R to a ring in which some kind of generalized division is possible. The classical right ring of quotients $Q_{\text{cl}}^r(R)$ unfortunately does not exist for every ring R . For many important cases, the maximal right ring of quotients $Q_{\text{max}}^r(R)$ always

Email address: l.vas@usip.edu (Lia Vaš).

exists and has properties that bring it closer to being a division ring. However, $Q_{\max}^r(R)$ may fail to have some properties of $Q_{\text{cl}}^r(R)$ that we would prefer to keep.

Yet another attempt to find a reasonable right ring of quotients was to consider the total right ring of quotients $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ sometimes also called the maximal flat epimorphic right ring of quotients, right flat epimorphic hull or the maximal perfect right localization. It can be defined for every ring and it is contained in the maximal right ring of quotients. If the classical right ring of quotients exists, the total right ring of quotients is between the classical and the maximal right ring of quotients. $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ is a generalization of the classical right ring of quotients in the sense that every element $a \in Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ has the property

$$ar_i \in R \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n r_i a_i = 1 \text{ for some } n, a_i \in Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R) \text{ and } r_i \in R, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Note that the above property implies that

$$a = a1 = \sum_{i=1}^n ar_i a_i = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i a_i \text{ where } s_i = ar_i \in R \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n r_i a_i = 1,$$

which for $n = 1$, is the familiar property of the classical right ring of quotients: every $a \in Q_{\text{cl}}^r(R)$ is of the form $a = bt$ for some $b \in R$ and $t \in Q_{\text{cl}}^r(R)$ such that t is invertible in $Q_{\text{cl}}^r(R)$.

Usually, the total right ring of quotients is constructed in the following way. For any R , let us consider the family of all ring extensions S such that S is flat as left R -module and that the inclusion $R \subseteq S$ is an epimorphism in the category of rings. This family is directed under inclusion. The directed union of the elements of this family is the total right ring of quotients $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$. Several authors proved the existence of $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ in a series of papers published in the late 1960s and early 1970s: Findlay [5], Knight [10], Lazard [13], Popescu and Spircu [17]. A good overview of the subject is given in Stenström's book [20]. Morita in [15] and [16] has a different approach for defining $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$. His idea is to start from the maximal right ring of quotients $Q_{\max}^r(R)$ and to construct $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ by transfinite induction on ordinals, "descending" from $Q_{\max}^r(R)$ towards R instead of "going upwards" starting from R using the directed family as in the classical construction. This construction is described in the alternative proof of Corollary 3.4 in [16].

In this paper, we prove that Morita's construction of $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ can be simplified for rings that satisfy the following condition

- (C) Every subring of the maximal right ring of quotients $Q_{\max}^r(R)$ containing R is flat as left R -module.

All rings constructed inductively in Morita's construction are rings of right

quotients of a certain torsion theory. The simplification of the construction reduces to the simplification of the description of this torsion theory. If the construction ends after a finite number of steps, we obtain an explicit description of $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$.

A right semihereditary ring R satisfies condition (C). We show that the construction of $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ stops after at most one step if R is right semihereditary, producing the following description of $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$. An element a of $Q_{\text{max}}^r(R)$ is in $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ if and only if

$$ar_i \in R \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n r_i a_i = 1 \text{ for some } n, a_i \in Q_{\text{max}}^r(R) \text{ and } r_i \in R, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

In Section 2, we review some basic notions including torsion theories and right rings of quotients of hereditary torsion theories. We also recall the definition and basic properties of perfect right rings of quotients and the total right ring of quotients. The exposition of rings of quotients follows the one in [20]. This approach was first introduced by Gabriel (see [7]). In this section we also present details of Morita's construction of $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$.

Section 3 contains the construction of $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ of a ring R satisfying conditions (C). In Proposition 10, we prove that this construction and Morita's coincide if R satisfies condition (C).

In Section 4, we turn our attention to the class of right semihereditary rings and prove that the construction ends after at most one step (Theorem 12). We illustrate the construction with examples and survey the results on the condition that Morita's construction ends already at the zeroth step.

In Section 5, we study conditions implying that the construction ends after countably many steps (Proposition 13).

We finish the paper by listing some interesting questions.

2 Right Rings of Quotients

2.1 General Right Rings of Quotients, Torsion Theories

Through the paper, a ring is an associative ring with unit. By a module we mean a right module unless otherwise specified. We adopt the usual definitions of the injective envelope $E(M)$ of a module M , the class of essential and dense submodules (e.g. definitions 3.31, 3.26, 8.2. [11]), and the maximal right (left)

ring of quotients $Q_{\max}^r(R)$ ($Q_{\max}^l(R)$) of a ring R (sections 13B and 13C in [11]). If $Q_{\max}^r(R) = Q_{\max}^l(R)$, we write $Q_{\max}(R)$ for $Q_{\max}^r(R) = Q_{\max}^l(R)$.

$Q_{\max}^r(R) \subseteq E(R)$ in general. If R is right nonsingular, the notions of dense and essential ideal are the same, $Q_{\max}^r(R)$ is equal to $E(R)$ and is von Neumann regular (Theorem 13.36 in [11]).

Let S be a ring extension of R . S is a *general right ring of quotients* if R is dense in S as a right R module (Definition 13.10 in [11]). If S is any general right ring of quotients, then there is unique embedding of S into $Q_{\max}^r(R)$ that is identity on R (Theorem 13.11, [11]).

A *torsion theory* for R is a pair $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ of classes of R -modules such that $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are maximal classes having the property that $\text{Hom}_R(T, F) = 0$, for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}$. The modules in $\mathcal{T}$ are called *torsion modules* for τ and the modules in $\mathcal{F}$ are called *torsion-free modules* for τ .

A given class $\mathcal{T}$ is a torsion class of a torsion theory if and only if it is closed under quotients, direct sums and extensions. A class $\mathcal{F}$ is a torsion-free class of a torsion theory if it is closed under taking submodules, isomorphic images, direct products and extensions (see Proposition 1.1.9 in [2]).

If $\tau_1 = (\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ and $\tau_2 = (\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ are two torsion theories, we say that τ_1 is *smaller* than τ_2 ($\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$) iff $\mathcal{T}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{T}_2$, equivalently $\mathcal{F}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{F}_2$.

For every module M , the largest submodule of M that belongs to $\mathcal{T}$ is called the *torsion submodule* of M and is denoted by $\mathcal{T}M$ (see Proposition 1.1.4 in [2]). The quotient $M/\mathcal{T}M$ is called the *torsion-free quotient* and is denoted by $\mathcal{F}M$. If K is a submodule of M , the *closure* $\text{cl}_{\tau}^M(K)$ of K in M with respect to the torsion theory τ is largest submodule of M such that $\text{cl}_{\tau}^M(K)/K$ is torsion module (equivalently $M/\text{cl}_{\tau}^M(K)$ is torsion-free).

A torsion theory $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ is *hereditary* if the class $\mathcal{T}$ is closed under taking submodules (equivalently torsion-free class is closed under formation of injective envelopes, see Proposition 1.1.6, [2]). The largest torsion theory in which a given class of injective modules is torsion-free (the torsion theory *cogenerated* by that class) is hereditary. Some authors (e.g. [8], [12]) consider just hereditary torsion theories. A torsion theory $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ is *faithful* if $R \in \mathcal{F}$.

The notion of Gabriel filter (terminology from [2]) or Gabriel topology (as is called in [20]) is equivalent to the notion of hereditary torsion theory.

If M is a R -module with submodule N and m an element of M , denote $\{r \in R \mid mr \in N\}$ by $(N : m)$. A *Gabriel filter (or Gabriel topology)* $\mathfrak{F}$ on a ring R is a nonempty collection of right R -ideals such that

- (1) If $I \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $r \in R$, then $(I : r) \in \mathfrak{F}$.
- (2) If $I \in \mathfrak{F}$ and J is a right ideal with $(J : r) \in \mathfrak{F}$ for all $r \in I$, then $J \in \mathfrak{F}$.

If τ is a hereditary torsion theory, the collection of right ideals $\{I \mid R/I \text{ is a torsion module}\}$ is a Gabriel filter $\mathfrak{F}_\tau$. Conversely, if $\mathfrak{F}$ is a Gabriel filter, then the class of modules $\{M \mid (0 : m) \in \mathfrak{F}, \text{ for every } m \in M\}$ is a torsion class of a hereditary torsion theory $\tau(\mathfrak{F})$. The details can be found in [2] or [20].

We recall some important examples of torsion theories.

Example 1 (1) The torsion theory cogenerated by the injective envelope $E(R)$ of R is called the *Lambek torsion theory*. It is hereditary, as it is cogenerated by an injective module, and faithful. Moreover, it is the largest hereditary faithful torsion theory. The Gabriel filter of this torsion theory is the set of all dense right ideals (see Proposition VI 5.5, p. 147 in [20]).

(2) The class of nonsingular modules over a ring R is closed under submodules, extensions, products and injective envelopes. Thus, it is a torsion-free class of a hereditary torsion theory. This torsion theory is called the *Goldie torsion theory*. It is larger than any hereditary faithful torsion theory (see Example 3, p. 26 in [2]). So, the Lambek torsion theory is smaller than the Goldie's. If R is right nonsingular, the Lambek and Goldie torsion theories coincide (see [2] p. 26 or [20] p. 149).

(3) If R is a right Ore ring with the set of regular elements T (i.e., $rT \cap tR \neq 0$, for every $t \in T$ and $r \in R$), we can define a hereditary torsion theory by the condition that a right R -module M is a torsion module iff for every $m \in M$, there is a nonzero $t \in T$ such that $mt = 0$. This torsion theory is called the *classical torsion theory of a right Ore ring*. It is hereditary and faithful.

(4) Let R be a subring of a ring S . The collection of all R -modules M such that $M \otimes_R S = 0$ is closed under quotients, extensions and direct sums. Moreover, if S is flat as a left R -module, then this collection is closed under submodules and, hence, defines a hereditary torsion theory. In this case we denote this torsion theory by τ_S . From the definition of τ_S it follows that the torsion submodule of M is the kernel of the natural map $M \rightarrow M \otimes_R S$ and that all flat modules are τ_S -torsion-free. Thus, τ_S is faithful. If R is a right Ore ring, then $\tau_{Q_{cl}^r(R)}$ is the classical torsion theory.

2.2 Right Rings of Quotients

If τ is a hereditary torsion theory with Gabriel filter $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}_\tau$ and M is a right R -module, define:

$$M_{(\mathfrak{F})} = \varinjlim_{I \in \mathfrak{F}} \text{Hom}_R(I, M).$$

In section 1 of chapter 9 of [20] it is shown that $R_{(\mathfrak{F})}$ has a ring structure and that $M_{(\mathfrak{F})}$ has a structure of a right $R_{(\mathfrak{F})}$ -module.

Consider the map $\phi_M : M \rightarrow M_{(\mathfrak{F})}$ obtained by composing the isomorphism $M \cong \text{Hom}_R(R, M)$ with the map $\text{Hom}_R(R, M) \rightarrow \varinjlim \text{Hom}_R(I, M)$ given by $f \mapsto f|_I$. This R -homomorphism defines a left exact functor ϕ from the category of right R -modules to the category of right $R_{(\mathfrak{F})}$ -modules.

Lemma 2 (1) $\mathcal{T}M = \ker(\phi_M : M \rightarrow M_{(\mathfrak{F})})$.
(2) $\mathcal{T}M = M$ if and only if $M_{(\mathfrak{F})} = 0$.
(3) $\text{coker}\phi_M$ is a τ -torsion module.

For details of the proof see Lemmas IX 1.2, 1.3 and 1.5, p. 196 in [20].

By parts 2. and 3. of Lemma 2, $(M/\mathcal{T}M)_{(\mathfrak{F})} = (M_{(\mathfrak{F})})_{(\mathfrak{F})}$. The *module of quotients* $M_{\mathfrak{F}}$ of M with respect to τ is defined as

$$M_{\mathfrak{F}} = (M_{(\mathfrak{F})})_{(\mathfrak{F})} = (M/\mathcal{T}M)_{(\mathfrak{F})} = \varinjlim_{I \in \mathfrak{F}} \text{Hom}_R(I, M/\mathcal{T}M).$$

The ring structure on $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ and the $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ -module structure on $M_{\mathfrak{F}}$ are induced from corresponding structures on $R_{(\mathfrak{F})}$ and $M_{(\mathfrak{F})}$. The ring $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is called the *right ring of quotients with respect to the torsion theory τ* . In [12], there is an equivalent approach to the notion of the module of quotients: $M_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is defined as closure of $M/\mathcal{T}M$ in $E(M/\mathcal{T}M)$ with respect to τ . From this approach it readily follows that $M_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is torsion-free as it is a submodule of an injective envelope of a torsion-free module. Also, if τ is faithful, then $R_{\mathfrak{F}} = \text{cl}_{\tau}^{E(R)}(R)$.

For every M , we have canonical homomorphism of R -modules $f_M : M \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{F}}$. In particular, $f_R : R \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a ring homomorphism. The kernel of f_M is the torsion module $\mathcal{T}M$ for every module M (see [20], p. 197).

Example 3 (1) Since $Q_{\max}^r(R) = \varinjlim \text{Hom}_R(I, R)$ where the limit is taken over the family of dense ideals I , $Q_{\max}^r(R)$ is the right ring of quotients with respect to the Lambek torsion theory.

(2) Let $\mathfrak{F}_G$ be the filter of the Goldie torsion theory $\tau_G = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$. If M is nonsingular, its module of quotients $M_{\mathfrak{F}_G}$ is the injective envelope $E(M)$ (see Propositions IX 2.5 and 2.7, Lemma IX 2.10 and Proposition IX 2.11 in [20]).

For any M , $M_{\mathfrak{F}_G} = \varinjlim \text{Hom}_R(I, M)$ (Propositions IX 1.7 and VI 7.3 in [20]), so $\varinjlim \text{Hom}_R(I, M) = M_{\mathfrak{F}_G} = \varinjlim \text{Hom}_R(I, M/\mathcal{T}M) = (\mathcal{F}M)_{\mathfrak{F}_G} = E(\mathcal{F}M)$.

If R is right nonsingular, $R_{\mathfrak{F}_G} = E(R) = Q_{\max}^r(R)$.

(3) If R is right Ore, the right ring of quotients with respect to classical torsion theory (see part (3) of Example 1) is the classical right ring of quotients $Q_{\text{cl}}^r(R)$ (see Example 2, ch. IX, p. 200 of [20]).

Let S be a ring extension of R . S is a *right ring of quotients* if $S = R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ for some Gabriel filter $\mathfrak{F}$ of a hereditary torsion theory τ . In [12], Lambek studies the necessary and sufficient conditions for a ring extension S to be a right ring of quotients.

If τ is hereditary and faithful with Gabriel filter $\mathfrak{F}$, then $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ can be embedded in $Q_{\max}^r(R)$ as τ is contained in the Lambek torsion theory (see (1) of Example 1). Since R is dense in $Q_{\max}^r(R)$, then R is dense in $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ as well. So, a right ring of quotients $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is also a general right ring of quotients if τ is faithful.

2.3 Perfect Right Rings of Quotients

Recall that the ring homomorphism $f : R \rightarrow S$ is called a *ring epimorphism* if for all rings T and homomorphisms $g, h : S \rightarrow T$, $gf = hf$ implies $g = h$.

Proposition 4 *$f : R \rightarrow S$ is a ring epimorphism if and only if the canonical map $S \otimes_R S \rightarrow S$ is bijective.*

For proof see Proposition XI 1.2, p. 226 in [20].

The situation when S is flat as left R -module is of special interest. There is a characterization of such epimorphisms due to Popescu and Spircu ([17]).

Theorem 5 *For a ring homomorphism $f : R \rightarrow S$ the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) *f is a ring epimorphism and S is flat as a left R -module.*
- (2) *The family of right ideals $\mathfrak{F} = \{I \mid f(I)S = S\}$ is a Gabriel filter, there is an isomorphism $g : S \cong R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ and $g \circ f$ is the canonical map $R \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{F}}$.*

The proof can also be found in [20], p. 227.

If $f : R \rightarrow S$ satisfies the equivalent conditions of this theorem, S is called a *perfect right ring of quotients*, a *flat epimorphic extension* of R , a *perfect right localization* of R or a *flat epimorphic right ring of quotients* of R .

A hereditary torsion theory τ with Gabriel filter $\mathfrak{F}$ is called *perfect* if the right ring of quotients $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is perfect and $\mathfrak{F} = \{I | f_I(I)R_{\mathfrak{F}} = R_{\mathfrak{F}}\}$. The Gabriel filter $\mathfrak{F}$ is called *perfect* in this case.

The perfect filters have a nice description. For a Gabriel filter $\mathfrak{F}$, let us look at the canonical maps $i_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ and $f_M : M \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{F}}$. There is a unique $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ -map $F_M : M \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{F}} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{F}}$ given by $f_M = F_M i_M$. The perfect filters are characterized by the property that the map F_M is an isomorphism for every module M . Moreover, the following holds.

Theorem 6 *The following properties of a Gabriel filter $\mathfrak{F}$ are equivalent.*

- (1) $\mathfrak{F}$ is perfect.
- (2) The functor q mapping the category of R -modules to the category of $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ -modules given by $M \mapsto M_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is exact and preserves direct sums.
- (3) $\mathfrak{F}$ has a basis consisting of finitely generated ideals and the functor q is exact.
- (4) The kernel of $i_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a torsion module in the torsion theory determined by $\mathfrak{F}$ for every module M .
- (5) The map $F_M : M \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{F}} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is an isomorphism for every M .

The proof can be found in [20] (Theorem XI 3.4, p. 231). Note that the functor q from parts (2) and (3) is always left exact.

This theorem establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the set of perfect filters $\mathfrak{F}$ on R and the perfect right rings of quotients given by $\mathfrak{F} \mapsto R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ with the inverse $S \mapsto \{I | f(I)S = S\}$ for $f : R \rightarrow S$ epimorphism that makes S a flat R -module.

From parts (4) and (5), it follows that if $\mathfrak{F}$ is a perfect filter of torsion theory τ , then τ is faithful because then the torsion submodule of R is isomorphic to $\text{Tor}_1^R(R, R_{\mathfrak{F}}/R)$ which is 0 (see part (1) of Lemma 2 and part (4) of Example 1). Thus, if S is a perfect right ring of quotients, then $R \subseteq S \subseteq Q_{\max}^r(R)$.

2.4 The Total Right Ring of Quotients

We further refine the introduced notions by considering the maximal perfect right ring of quotients. Every ring has a maximal perfect right ring of quotients, unique up to isomorphism (Theorem XI 4.1, p. 233, [20]). It is called *total right ring of quotients* (also maximal perfect right localization of R , maximal flat epimorphic right ring of quotients of R , right perfect hull, right flat-epimorphic hull). We shall use the same notation as in [20] and denote it by $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$. Other notations used in the literature include $\text{epi}(R)$ and $M(R)$.

In Theorem XI 4.1, p. 233, [20], $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ is obtained as the directed union of the family of all subrings of $Q_{\text{max}}^r(R)$ that are perfect right rings of quotients of R . The approaches in [5], [10], [13], and [17] are all equivalent and involve the construction of $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ as a direct limit. In [16], Morita constructs $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ differently than [5], [10], [13] or [17]. If M is a right R -module, let us consider

$$\mathfrak{F}_t(M) = \{I \mid I \text{ is a right ideal of } R \text{ and } (I : r)M = M \text{ for all } r \in R\}.$$

In Lemma 1.1 of [16], Morita shows that this is a Gabriel filter of a hereditary torsion theory.

In Theorem 3.1 of [16], Morita shows that a ring homomorphism $f : R \rightarrow S$ is a ring epimorphism with S flat as a left R -module if and only if S is the right ring of quotients of R with respect to the Gabriel filter $\mathfrak{F}_t(S)$. In this case $S = \{s \in S \mid (R : sr)S = S \text{ for every } r \in R\}$.

Motivated by this result Morita considers the set

$$S' = \{s \in S \mid (R : sr)S = S \text{ for every } r \in R\}$$

for a ring extension S of R . By Theorem 3.1 of [16], S is flat epimorphic extension if and only if $S = S'$. In Lemma 3.2 of [16], Morita proves that S' is a subring of S that contains R for a ring extension S of R . In Corollary 3.4 of [16], he shows that there exist the largest flat epimorphic extension of R that is contained in a given extension S . After proving this corollary, Morita also sketches the idea of the alternative proof (passage following the proof). We are interested in this alternative proof. The outline of the proof is the following.

Let $S^{(0)} = S$. If α is a successor ordinal $\alpha = \beta + 1$, then $S^{(\alpha)} = (S^{(\beta)})'$. If α is a limit ordinal, let $S^{(\alpha)} = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} S^{(\beta)}$. Morita claims that there is an ordinal γ such that $S^{(\gamma)} = (S^{(\gamma)})' = S^{(\gamma+1)}$. This is true because if $S^{(\gamma+1)}$ is strictly contained in $S^{(\gamma)}$ for every ordinal γ , then $|S| \geq |S - S^{(\gamma)}| \geq |\gamma|$ for every ordinal γ which is a contradiction. If $S^{(\gamma)} = S^{(\gamma+1)}$, then $S^{(\gamma)}$ is flat epimorphic extension of R by Theorem 3.1 in [16]. To see that $S^{(\gamma)}$ is the largest flat epimorphic extension contained in S , take T to be any flat epimorphic extension such that $T \leq S$. Then $T' = T \leq S'$ so it is easy to see that T is contained in all extensions $S^{(\alpha)}$ for every ordinal α . Hence, $T \leq S^{(\gamma)}$.

$S = Q_{\text{max}}^r(R)$ is the case of special interest. In this case, this construction gives us $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ (see last paragraph of Section 3 in [16]). In the rest of the paper, we shall refer to this construction of $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ as Morita's construction.

Example 7 (1) If R is regular, then $R = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ by Example 1 and Proposition XI 1.4, p. 226 in [20].

(2) If R is right Ore, then $Q_{\text{cl}}^r(R) \subseteq Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$. If $Q_{\text{cl}}^r(R)$ is regular, then $Q_{\text{cl}}^r(R) = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ (Example 2, ch. XI, p. 235, [20]).

(3) If R is right noetherian and right hereditary (in particular if R is semisimple), then $Q_{\max}^r(R) = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ (Example 3, ch. XI, p. 235, [20]) If R is also commutative, then $Q_{\text{cl}}(R) = Q_{\max}(R) = Q_{\text{tot}}(R)$.

3 Construction of $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ for a class of rings

In this section, we consider a class of rings for which the Gabriel filter from Morita's construction at step α is exactly the Gabriel filter of the torsion theory obtained by tensoring with $Q_{\max}^r(R)^{(\alpha)}$ (see part (4) of Example 1) for all ordinals α . First, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 8 *Let $\tau = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be a hereditary torsion theory with Gabriel filter $\mathfrak{F}$ such that its right ring of quotients $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is flat as left R -module.*

1. *The torsion theory $\tau_{R_{\mathfrak{F}}}$ (introduced in (4) of Example 1) is smaller than τ .
If τ is faithful, the right ring of quotients of $\tau_{R_{\mathfrak{F}}}$ is contained in $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$.*
2. *$\tau = \tau_{R_{\mathfrak{F}}}$ if and only if τ is perfect.*
3. *If $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a perfect right ring of quotients then the torsion theory $\tau_{R_{\mathfrak{F}}}$ is perfect.*

Note that in the last part of this lemma, it is possible to have $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ (and $\tau_{R_{\mathfrak{F}}}$) perfect without τ being perfect. We illustrate this situation in Example 4.1.

PROOF. 1. Denote $\tau_{R_{\mathfrak{F}}}$ with (t, p) . We will show that $t \subseteq \mathcal{T}$. Let M be any right R -module. tM is the kernel of $i_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ (see part (4) of Example 1). It is contained in $\ker(f_M : M \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{F}})$. But $\ker f_M$ is $\mathcal{T}M$. Thus, $tM \subseteq \mathcal{T}M$.

Let S be the right ring of right quotients of torsion theory (t, p) . (t, p) is faithful so $S = \varinjlim \text{Hom}_R(I, R)$ where the limit is taken over the right ideals I that are in the Gabriel filter of (t, p) . Since τ is faithful as well, $R_{\mathfrak{F}} = \varinjlim \text{Hom}_R(I, R)$, $I \in \mathfrak{F}$. But the filter corresponding to (t, p) is contained in $\mathfrak{F}$ and so $S \subseteq R_{\mathfrak{F}}$.

2. If $tM = \mathcal{T}M$, then condition (4) from Theorem 6 holds so τ is perfect. Conversely, if τ is perfect and M is a torsion with respect to τ , then $M_{\mathfrak{F}} = 0$ by part (2) of Lemma 2. But F_M is an isomorphism by condition (5) of Theorem 6, so $M \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{F}} = 0$. Hence, M is torsion in (t, p) by part (4) of Example 1 so the two torsion theories coincide.

3. If $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is perfect, then it is a right ring of quotients of a perfect torsion theory (not necessarily τ). That torsion theory is equal to $\tau_{R_{\mathfrak{F}}}$ by part 2. So, $\tau_{R_{\mathfrak{F}}}$ is perfect.

The idea of our construction is to start by checking if Lambek torsion theory is perfect. Denote its right ring of quotients $Q_{\max}^r(R)$ by Q_0 . If it is perfect, $Q_0 = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$. If not, we consider the strictly smaller torsion theory τ_{Q_0} . If it is perfect, its right ring of quotients Q_1 is $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$. If not, we consider the strictly smaller torsion theory τ_{Q_1} and continue inductively. If the construction does not end after finitely many steps, we consider Q_ω to be show the intersection of the rings Q_n , $n \geq 0$, and proceed inductively.

The only thing we need to insure in order to be able to define the above torsion theories and their rings of quotients is that the defined ring extensions of R are flat as left R -modules. Thus, we impose the following condition on R :

(C) Every subring of $Q_{\max}^r(R)$ that contain R is flat as a left R -module.

Under this condition, let us prove that the above described idea works.

Step 0. Denote the Lambek torsion theory by τ_0 , its filter, the set of all dense right ideals by $\mathfrak{F}_0$, and its right ring of quotients, $Q_{\max}^r(R)$ by Q_0 .

Check if τ_0 is perfect. Note that, if R is right nonsingular, this is equivalent to the condition that $Q_{\max}^r(R)$ is semisimple by Proposition XI 5.2 and Example 2, p. 237 in [20]. If τ_0 is perfect, then $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R) = Q_0 = Q_{\max}^r(R)$ by (3) of Examples 7 and the construction is over. If not, go to next step.

Inductive step. Let us suppose that we constructed the torsion theory τ_α with Gabriel filter $\mathfrak{F}_\alpha$ and the right ring of quotients Q_α . Then, we define

$$\tau_{\alpha+1} = \tau_{Q_\alpha}, \quad \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha+1} = \text{Gabriel filter corresponding to } \tau_{\alpha+1}, \quad Q_{\alpha+1} = R_{\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha+1}}.$$

Here we are using condition (C) in order for τ_α to be hereditary.

If α is a limit ordinal and the rings Q_β for $\beta < \alpha$ are constructed, then define

$$\tau_\alpha = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} \tau_\beta, \quad \mathfrak{F}_\alpha = \text{Gabriel filter corresponding to } \tau_\alpha = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} \mathfrak{F}_\beta, \quad Q_\alpha = R_{\mathfrak{F}_\alpha}.$$

Note that in this case $Q_\alpha = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} Q_\beta$. One direction follows since $\mathfrak{F}_\alpha \subseteq \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} \mathfrak{F}_\beta$. To prove the other direction, let us note that $Q_\beta = \text{cl}_{\tau_\beta}^{E(R)}(R)$ as every τ_β is faithful. Then $(\bigcap Q_\beta)/R$ is torsion in τ_β for every $\beta < \alpha$ as it is a submodule of torsion module $Q_\beta/R = \text{cl}_{\tau_\beta}^{E(R)}(R)/R$. So, $\bigcap Q_\beta$ has to be contained in the closure $\text{cl}_{\tau_\alpha}^{E(R)}(R) = Q_\alpha$.

Let us note also that Q_α/R is a torsion module in τ_α as is the cokernel of map $R \hookrightarrow Q_\alpha$ (see part (3) of Lemma 2).

Lemma 9 *Let $\beta < \alpha$.*

- (1) $\tau_\alpha \subseteq \tau_\beta$ and $Q_\alpha \subseteq Q_\beta$.
- (2) Q_β/Q_α is torsion module in τ_β and torsion-free module in τ_α .
- (3) $Q_\alpha \otimes_R Q_\beta = R \otimes_R Q_\beta \cong Q_\beta$.
- (4) $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R) \subseteq Q_\alpha$.
- (5) $\tau_\beta = \tau_\alpha$ if and only if τ_β is perfect.
- (6) Q_α is perfect right ring of quotients if and only if $Q_\alpha = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$.
- (7) If τ_α is perfect, then Q_α is perfect. If Q_α is perfect, then $\tau_{\alpha+1}$ is perfect.

PROOF.

(1) This is part 1. of Lemma 8 for α successor ordinal and definition of τ_α for α limit ordinal.

(2) Q_β/Q_α is a quotient of Q_β/R . Q_β/R is torsion in τ_β and then so is Q_β/Q_α .

Q_β/Q_α is a submodule of $E(R)/Q_\alpha$. But $Q_\alpha = \text{cl}_{\tau_\alpha}^{E(R)}(R)$ so $E(R)/Q_\alpha$ is torsion-free in τ_α . Hence, the submodule Q_β/Q_α is torsion-free in τ_α as well.

(3) $\beta < \alpha$ implies $\beta + 1 \leq \alpha$. $Q_\alpha/R \leq Q_{\beta+1}/R$ is torsion in $\tau_{\beta+1}$. Thus, $Q_\alpha/R \otimes_R Q_\beta = 0$. Since Q_β is flat, we have that $Q_\alpha \otimes_R Q_\beta = R \otimes_R Q_\beta \cong Q_\beta$.

(4) We show this by induction on α . If $\alpha = 0$, $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R) \subseteq Q_{\text{max}}^r(R) = Q_0$ as $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ is a general right ring of quotients. Suppose that it holds for all ordinals less than α . If α is a limit ordinal, the claim easily follows. Let α be a successor ordinal of β . Let $q \in Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$. Then q can be represented as a map $I \rightarrow R$ for some right ideal I with $IQ_{\text{tot}}^r(R) = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ by part (2) of Theorem 5. So, $1 = \sum r_i q_i$ for some $r_i \in I$ and $q_i \in Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ for some m . By induction hypothesis, q_i is in Q_β . Thus $Q_\beta \subseteq IQ_\beta$ and so $IQ_\beta = Q_\beta$. Hence, q is in the right ring of quotients with respect to τ_{Q_β} which is Q_α .

(5) Since $\beta < \alpha$ implies $\beta + 1 \leq \alpha$, $\tau_\beta = \tau_\alpha$ implies $\tau_\beta = \tau_{\beta+1}$. Then τ_β is perfect by part 2. of Lemma 8. Conversely, if τ_β is perfect, then $\tau_\beta = \tau_{\beta+1}$ (again by part 2. of Lemma 8) so $\tau_\beta = \tau_\alpha$ for all $\alpha > \beta$.

(6) If Q_α is perfect, Q_α is contained in $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ by definition of $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$. Since the converse always holds by part (4), we have that $Q_\alpha = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$. The converse is clear.

(7) The first part follows from Theorem 6 and the second part from part 3. of Lemma 8.

From part (7), we see that τ_α being perfect implies that Q_α is perfect as well. The converse does not hold (see Example 4.1). Also, if Q_α is perfect, $\tau_{\alpha+1}$ is perfect as well but the converse does not have to hold (see Example 4.2).

Getting $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$. Ordinal α such that $Q_\alpha = Q_{\alpha+1}$ has to exist by the same argument as the one used in the proof of Morita's construction. If $Q_\alpha = Q_{\alpha+1}$, then $Q_\alpha \otimes_R Q_\alpha = Q_{\alpha+1} \otimes_R Q_\alpha \cong Q_\alpha$ by part (3) of Lemma 9. Thus Q_α is perfect by Proposition 4. Then $Q_\alpha = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ by part (6) of Lemma 9.

The next proposition shows that Morita's construction coincides with our construction if the ring R satisfies condition (C).

Proposition 10 *If R is a ring that satisfies (C), then for $Q = Q_{\text{max}}^r(R)$,*

$$Q_\alpha = Q^{(\alpha)} \text{ for all } \alpha.$$

PROOF. $Q_0 = Q^{(0)}$ as both are $Q_{\text{max}}^r(R)$. Let us proceed by induction. Assume that $Q_\alpha = Q^{(\alpha)}$. Recall that $Q_{\alpha+1}$ is the right ring of quotients with respect to the Gabriel filter $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha+1} = \{I | IQ_\alpha = Q_\alpha\}$. $Q^{(\alpha+1)}$ is the right ring of quotients with respect to the Gabriel filter $\mathfrak{F}_t(Q^{(\alpha)}) = \{I | (I : r)Q^{(\alpha)} = Q^{(\alpha)} \text{ for all } r \in R\}$ by Theorem 4.1 of [16]. Clearly if I is a right ideal in $\mathfrak{F}_t(Q^{(\alpha)})$, then $(I : 1)Q^{(\alpha)} = Q^{(\alpha)}$ and so $IQ_\alpha = Q_\alpha$. Conversely, if I is in $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha+1}$, then $(I : r)$ is in $\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha+1}$ for any $r \in R$ by property (1) of Gabriel filter (see the definition of Gabriel filter in Section 2). Since we assume that $Q_\alpha = Q^{(\alpha)}$, then $I \in \mathfrak{F}_t(Q^{(\alpha)})$.

If α is a limit ordinal and we assume that $Q_\beta = Q^{(\beta)}$ for all $\beta < \alpha$, then $Q_\alpha = \bigcap Q_\beta = \bigcap Q^{(\beta)} = Q^{(\alpha)}$.

4 $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ of a Right Semihereditary Ring R

In this section, we consider the class of right semihereditary rings to illustrate the benefits of using our construction when it is possible to do so. Let us first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 11 *For any R that satisfies (C), the Gabriel filter $\mathfrak{F}_\alpha$ has a basis consisting of finitely generated right ideals for every successor ordinal α .*

PROOF. The statement of the lemma means that for every right ideal I in $\mathfrak{F}_\alpha$, there is finitely generated right ideal J in $\mathfrak{F}_\alpha$ such that $J \subseteq I$.

Let $I \in \mathfrak{F}_\alpha$. Since α is successor, $\alpha = \beta + 1$ for some β . By construction, this means that $IQ_\beta = Q_\beta$. Then, there is m and $r_i \in I$, $q_i \in Q_\beta$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ such that $\sum r_i q_i = 1$.

Let J be the right ideal generated by $\{r_1, \dots, r_m\}$. Clearly, $J \subseteq I$. $1 = \sum r_i q_i \in JQ_\beta$ and so $Q_\beta = JQ_\beta$. Thus, J is in $\mathfrak{F}_\alpha$.

This lemma is the essential reason why it is better to consider Gabriel filters $\mathfrak{F}_\alpha$ instead of $\mathfrak{F}_t(Q^{(\alpha)})$ when possible. In general, there is no reason for the filter $\mathfrak{F}_t(Q^{(\alpha)})$ to have a basis consisting of finitely generated ideals and the usefulness of the property is evident in part (3) of Theorem 6. On the other hand, filters $\mathfrak{F}_\alpha$ do have this property for α successor by Lemma 11. This property of filters $\mathfrak{F}_\alpha$ will be essential when considering the class of right semihereditary rings in the next theorem.

Theorem 12 *If R is right semihereditary, then R satisfies (C) and*

$$Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R) = Q_1.$$

PROOF. $Q_{\text{max}}^r(R)$ is left flat for every right nonsingular and right coherent ring R : a right coherent ring has a left flat right ring of quotients with respect to the Goldie torsion theory (Example 1, ch. XI, p. 233 [20]), and a right nonsingular ring has equal Lambek and Goldie torsion theories, so the Goldie right ring of quotients is the same as $Q_{\text{max}}^r(R)$. (C) is true if R is, in addition, subflat. A ring is subflat if every submodule of a left (equivalently right) flat R -module is flat. Equivalently, all left (right) ideals are flat. Right nonsingular, right coherent rings that are subflat are right semihereditary (Theorem 2.10 in [18] and Example 1, p. 233 [20]). Converse also holds, if R is right semihereditary, then it is right nonsingular, right coherent and subflat.

For the construction to end after the first step, it is sufficient to show that the filter $\mathfrak{F}_1$ is perfect. We show that the condition (3) from Theorem 6 is satisfied for $\mathfrak{F}_1$. By above lemma, $\mathfrak{F}_1$ has a basis of finitely generated right ideals. But R is right semihereditary so those ideals are projective. Then the functor q from condition (3) of Theorem 6 is exact since any Gabriel filter $\mathfrak{F}$ with basis consisting of projective right ideals has exact functor q (Proposition XI 3.3, p. 230, [20]). So, $Q_1 = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$.

This theorem provides us with a simple hands-on description of the total right ring of quotients for R right semihereditary:

$$Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R) = \{ q \in Q_{\text{max}}^r(R) \mid (R : q)Q_{\text{max}}^r(R) = Q_{\text{max}}^r(R) \}.$$

Let us consider the following examples of semihereditary rings.

4.1 Example of a semihereditary ring with $Q_0 = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$, τ_0 not perfect

The class $\mathcal{C}$ considered in [1], [21] and [22] consists of certain finite Baer $*$ -rings that are all semihereditary (see Corollary 5 in [21]). All finite AW^* -algebras (in particular all finite von Neumann algebras) are in $\mathcal{C}$.

A ring R from $\mathcal{C}$ has (left and right) maximal and classical ring of quotients equal by Proposition 3 in [21] (let us denote it by Q) and thus $Q_{\text{tot}}(R)$ is equal to Q as well. Thus, for this class of rings $Q_0 = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$. However, not all rings in $\mathcal{C}$ have τ_0 perfect. In fact, part 3 of Theorem 23 in [21] says that $\tau_0 = \tau_1$ (in notation used in this paper) if and only if Q is semisimple. This is equivalent to the condition that τ_0 is perfect by part 2 of Lemma 8. The inequality $\tau_1 \leq \tau_0$ can be strict by Example 8.34 in [14]. Note also that this is an example of a ring with τ_0 and τ_1 different but with the same right ring of quotients Q_0 . So, it is possible to have the perfect Q_0 but not perfect τ_0 .

4.2 Example of a semihereditary ring with $Q_0 \neq Q_1 = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$

Let $R = \{(a_n) \in \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \dots \mid (a_n) \text{ is eventually constant}\}$. R is commutative so the left and right ring of quotients coincide. R is regular, so $Q_{\text{tot}}(R) = R$. $Q_{\text{max}}(R) = \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \dots$ (Exercise 23, p. 328, [11]). As regular rings are semihereditary, $Q_1 = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R) = R$.

This example also provides the evidence of a ring with τ_1 perfect without Q_0 being perfect and a maximal ring of quotients that is flat but not perfect.

Another example of a commutative ring with τ_0 not perfect can be found on page 332 in [19].

4.3 Semihereditary Rings with $Q_{\text{max}}^r(R) = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$

Let us mention some results related to the condition that $Q_{\text{max}}^r(R) = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$. In general, this condition is weaker than the condition that τ_0 is perfect as we have seen in Example 4.1.

In [9], Goodearl showed that for a right nonsingular ring R , the following are equivalent:

- i) Every finitely generated nonsingular module can be embedded in a free module.
- ii) $Q_{\text{max}}^r(R) = Q_{\text{tot}}^l(R)$.

This result implies that the following two conditions on a right nonsingular ring R are equivalent:

- (1) Every finitely generated nonsingular module is projective.
- (2) R is right semihereditary and $Q_{\max}^r(R) = Q_{\text{tot}}^l(R)$.

Also, if these conditions are satisfied then R is also left semihereditary and $Q_{\max}^r(R) = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$. This result was first shown in [3].

In [4], Evans shows that the following conditions are equivalent

- (3) R is right semihereditary ring and $Q_{\max}^r(R) = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R) = Q_{\text{tot}}^l(R)$.
- (4) The matrix ring $M_n(R)$ is strongly Baer (every right complement ideal is generated by an idempotent) for all n .

Evans calls the rings satisfying these equivalent conditions the *right strongly extended semihereditary*. The rings from Example 4.1 are (left and right) strongly extended semihereditary. The ring from Example 4.2 is an example of a (left and right) semihereditary ring that is not strongly extended semihereditary.

In [6], Finkel Jones considers the notion of f -projectivity. A module M is said to be *f -projective* if the inclusion of a finitely generated submodule of M in M factors through a free module. f -projectivity lies properly between projectivity and flatness. Every finitely generated f -projective module is projective. If $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is perfect ring of quotients, then $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is f -projective by Proposition 2.1, p. 1608 in [6]. Conversely, if $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a ring of quotients with respect to a faithful hereditary torsion theory such that $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is f -projective, then $R_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is perfect. Thus, the notion of f -projectivity also characterizes the perfect right rings of quotients.

In [4], Evans uses the notion of f -projectivity to further describe a class of right strongly extended semihereditary rings. He proves that the following conditions are equivalent to (3) and (4) above:

- (5) The class of f -projective modules is a torsion-free class of a hereditary torsion theory.
- (6) A module is f -projective if and only if it is nonsingular.

5 A Class of Rings for Which the Construction Ends After Countably Many Steps

Let ω denote the first infinite ordinal as usual.

Proposition 13 *If R satisfies condition (C) and*

(C') Every subring of $Q_{\max}^r(R)$ that contain R is flat as a right R -module,

then

$$Q_\omega = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R).$$

In particular, a commutative ring R that satisfies condition (C) has $Q_\omega = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$.

PROOF. Since R satisfies (C), we know that Q_ω is flat as a left R -module. Thus, to prove that it is perfect it is sufficient to show that the canonical map $Q_\omega \otimes_R Q_\omega \rightarrow Q_\omega$ is an isomorphism (by Proposition 4). $Q_\omega \otimes_R Q_\omega \leq Q_\omega \otimes_R Q_n$ as Q_ω is flat as a right R -module by (C').

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\omega \otimes_R Q_\omega &\leq \bigcap (Q_\omega \otimes_R Q_n) \quad (\text{by what we showed above}) \\ &= \bigcap (R \otimes_R Q_n) \quad (\text{by part (3) of Lemma 9}) \\ &= R \otimes_R \bigcap Q_n \quad (\text{inverse limit commutes with } R \otimes_R _) \\ &= R \otimes_R Q_\omega \quad (\text{by definition of } Q_\omega) \\ &\cong Q_\omega \end{aligned}$$

If R is commutative, then $Q_{\max}^r(R)$ is commutative as well (see Proposition 13.34 in [11]). Thus condition (C) implies condition (C') so the claim follows.

Note that in the proof we really used much weaker assumption than (C'). Namely, we just used that Q_ω is flat as right R -module, not that every subring of $Q_{\max}^r(R)$ that contains R is flat as right module. Thus, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 14 *If R is a ring that satisfies (C) and such that Q_α is flat as a right R -module for some limit ordinal α , then $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R) = Q_\alpha$.*

To prove this, just replace ω with α and n with any $\beta < \alpha$ in the proof of Proposition 13.

6 Questions

We conclude by listing some interesting questions and problems.

- (1) In [20], p. 235, Stenström is asking for necessary and sufficient conditions for $Q_{\max}^r(R)$ and $Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R)$ to be equal. Note that this is weaker than the condition for the Lambek torsion theory to be perfect. The necessary and sufficient condition for the Lambek torsion theory to be perfect is known: τ_0 is perfect if and only if $Q_{\max}^r(R)$ has no proper dense right ideals (Proposition XI 5.2, p. 236, [20]). A ring R satisfying this condition is called right *Kasch*. If R is hereditary and noetherian (Example 3, p. 235, [20]) or commutative and noetherian (Example 4, p. 237, [20]) or non-singular with finite uniform dimension (Gabriel's Theorem, see Theorem 13.40 in [11] or Theorem XII 2.5 in [20]), $Q_{\max}^r(R)$ is known to be Kasch.
- (2) For any n , find example of a ring R such that $Q_n = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R) \neq Q_i$ for $i < n$. Describe the rings satisfying this condition.
- (3) Find example of a ring R such that $Q_\omega = Q_{\text{tot}}^r(R) \neq Q_n$ for all n . Describe the rings satisfying this condition.
- (4) In Example 4, p. 253 of [20], Stenström is asking how the type of Baer ring changes when taking the maximal ring of quotients. With that in mind, it would also be natural to ask how the type of Baer ring changes when taking the total ring of quotients.

References

- [1] S. K. Berberian, Baer *-rings, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 195, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972.
- [2] P. E. Bland, Topics in torsion theory, Math. Research 103, Wiley-VCH Verlag Berlin GmbH, Berlin, 1998.
- [3] V. C. Cateforis, On regular self-injective rings, Pacific J. Math. 30 (1969) 39–45.
- [4] M. W. Evans, A class of semihereditary rings, Rings, modules and radicals (Hobart, 1987), 51–60, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., 204, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1989.
- [5] G. D. Findlay, Flat epimorphic extensions of rings, Math. Z. 118 (1970) 281–288.
- [6] M. Finkel Jones, f -projectivity and flat epimorphisms, Comm. Algebra 9 (1981) no. 16, 1603–1616.
- [7] P. Gabriel, Des catégories abéliennes, Bull. Soc. Math. France 90 (1962) 323–448.
- [8] J. S. Golan, Torsion theories, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 29, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1986.
- [9] K. R. Goodearl, Embedding non-singular modules in free modules, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 1 (1971) 275–279.

- [10] J. T. Knight, On epimorphisms of non-commutative rings, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 68 (1970) 589–600.
- [11] T. Y. Lam, Lectures on modules and rings, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 189, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [12] J. Lambek, Torsion Theories, Additive Semantics, and Rings of Quotients, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 177, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1971.
- [13] D. Lazard, Autour de la platitude, Bull. Soc. Math. France 97 (1969) 81–128.
- [14] W. Lück, L^2 -invariants: Theory and Applications to Geometry and K-theory, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grebzbgebiete, Folge 3, 44, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [15] K. Morita, Localizations in categories of modules III, Math. Z. 119 (1971) 313–320.
- [16] K. Morita, Flat modules, injective modules and quotient rings, Math. Z. 120 (1971) 25–40.
- [17] N. Popescu, T. Spircu Quelques observations sur les èpimorphismes plats (à gauche) d’anneaux, J. Algebra 16 (1970) 40–59.
- [18] F. L. Sandomierski, Nonsingular rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968) 225–230.
- [19] W. Schelter, P. Roberts, Flat modules and torsion theories, Math. Z. 129 (1972), 331–334.
- [20] B. Stenström, Rings of quotients, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 217, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg (1975).
- [21] L. Vaš, Dimension and Torsion Theories for a Class of Baer *-Rings, Journal of Algebra 289 (2005) no. 2, 614–639.
- [22] L. Vaš, Class of Baer *-rings Defined by a Relaxed Set of Axioms, Journal of Algebra, 297 (2006), no. 2, 470 - 473.